



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

DIGEST OF OTHER RECENT VIRGINIA DECISIONS.**Supreme Court of Appeals.**

Note.—In this department we give the syllabus of every case decided by the Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, except of such cases as are reported in full.

PHIPPS *v.* WISE HOTEL CO. et al.

Sept. 11, 1913. On Rehearing, Sept. 7, 1914.

[82 S. E. 681.]

1. **Equity (§ 452*)—Bill of Review—Defenses—Negligence.**—A suit to enforce a mechanic's lien on land subject to certain vendors' liens, the holders of which were made parties, was referred to a master commissioner to ascertain and report the liens, their amounts and priorities, and the value of the lots, exclusive of the building, and of the building. The commissioner reported the value of the lots at \$4,500, and of the building at \$13,000, and that the vendors' liens were first liens on the lots, and the mechanic's lien a first lien against the building. The report without exception on the part of D., one of the holders of the vendors' liens, so far as the mechanic's lien was concerned, was confirmed, and a decree entered, directing a sale, and ordering that the proceeds should be distributed, 26/37 to the holder of the mechanic's lien, and to the holders of the vendors' liens the residue. The holder of the mechanic's lien by bidding caused the property to sell for enough to protect his lien. On notice to D. the sale was confirmed, and the proceeds thereof distributed as decreed. The purchaser thereafter made improvements on the property, and paid insurance thereon. D. filed a bill of review on the ground that the vendors' liens should have been given priority over the mechanic's lien. Held, that D., having neglected to make defense to the commissioner's report upon the question of law raised by the bill of review, was estopped and not entitled to the relief sought, since he had put it beyond the power of the court to correct its decree establishing the liens, without loss to some one of the interested parties, and the loss should be borne by the one whose negligence or conduct caused it.

[Ed. Note.—For other case, see Equity, Cent. Dig. §§ 1101-1109; Dec. Dig. § 452.* 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 94; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 633; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 596.]

2. **Equity (§ 442*)—Bill of Review—Grounds—Establishment.**—A bill of review will not lie, unless the facts upon which it is based are recited in the decree sought to be reviewed, or admitted in the pleadings.

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Equity, Cent. Dig. §§ 1065-1070; Dec. Dig. § 442.* 2 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 391; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 154; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 123.]

3. Equity (§ 446*)—Bill of Review—Grounds.—Where, in a suit to enforce a mechanic's lien, the commissioner's report as to the mechanic's and vendors' liens and their priorities was not excepted to, relief against alleged errors therein could not be given on a bill of review, as the priority of such liens might have been affected by extraneous evidence.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Equity, Cent. Dig. §§ 1079-1090; Dec. Dig. § 446.* 2 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 391; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 154; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 123.]

4. Equity (§ 442*)—Bill of Review—Grounds.—That, in a suit to enforce a mechanic's lien, the lien and the deeds in which the vendors' liens were retained were filed as evidence with the bill did not authorize the correction of the commissioner's report as to the priority of such liens on a bill of review.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Equity, Cent. Dig. §§ 1065-1070; Dec. Dig. § 442.* 2 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 391; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 154; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 123.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Wise County.

Suit by D. J. Phipps against the Wise Hotel Company and others, in which H. H. Dotson filed a bill of review. From a decree granting the relief sought by the bill of review, the original complainant appeals. Reversed, and decree entered, dismissing the bill of review, and remanding the case.

Bond & Bruce, of Wise, for appellant.

E. L. Barr and *E. M. Fulton*, both of Wise, for appellees.

HOUNSHELL *v.* HOUNSHELL.

Sept. 7, 1914.

[82 S. E. 689.]

1. Appeal and Error (§ 125*)—Right to Appeal—Consent Decrees.—A consent decree waives all errors and is not subject to review.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Appeal and Error, Cent. Dig. § 883; Dec. Dig. § 125.* 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 466.]

2. Appeal and Error (§ 125*)—Right to Appeal—Consent Decrees.—In a suit to settle an estate, to construe a deed by the decedent, and an agreement between the decedent and his son D., a decree was entered construing the two instruments, and appointing a commissioner to report the value and kind of the estate. Prior to the

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.